

## **ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR IN THE GLOBAL COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPE: THE ROLE OF LEADERSHIP STYLES IN REDUCING ATTRITION**

**Dr. Shruti Gaur**

Assistant Professor, IIMT Group of Colleges, Greater Noida

**Dr. Poonam Arora**

Associate Professor, School of Management GD Goenka University, Gurugram

**Dr. Satuluri Padma**

Amity Business School, Amity University, Mumbai - Panvel Highway, Panvel, Mumbai,  
Maharashtra

**Dr. Anil kumar**

Assistant Professor School of Management Graphic Era Hill University, Haldwani

**Prof Kanchan Bhatia**

Professor, Department of Management, Makhanlal Chaturvedi National University of Journalism  
and Communication Bhopal

**Dr. Madhuri Prakash Kamble**

Assistant Professor, Commerce, Sterling College of Arts, Commerce and Science. Nerul. Navi -  
Mumbai, Thane Maharashtra

### **ABSTRACT**

In today's global competitive landscape, effective leadership is crucial for minimizing employee turnover. Achieving an organization's objectives hinges on adept leadership styles. These styles significantly influence both employee performance and overall productivity. Management practices, rooted in various cultures, are not uniform but vary based on distinct techniques, approaches, and styles. This study adopted a survey methodology, analyzing primary data sourced from a structured questionnaire administered to participants. Data analysis was conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). The study identified Leadership Style (Democratic, Autocratic, and Laissez-faire) as the independent variable and firm performance as the dependent variable. With a Cronbach's alpha of 0.8, the study demonstrated strong internal consistency. Notably, leaders with democratic tendencies exhibited a more pronounced impact on performance compared to autocratic and laissez-faire leaders. This research offers insights into leadership styles and their influence on work quality within select Indian organizations.

**Keywords:** *Leadership Styles, Organizational Performance, Employee Engagement, Cultural Influences*

## INTRODUCTION

In an era marked by rapid globalization and technological advancements, the dynamics of the corporate world have undergone profound transformations. Organizations, irrespective of their size or sector, are navigating an intensely competitive landscape where the need for effective leadership has never been more paramount. Leadership, traditionally perceived as the art of guiding and influencing individuals or teams towards achieving specific goals, has now evolved into a multifaceted concept, deeply intertwined with organizational success and employee well-being.

The importance of effective leadership becomes even more pronounced when considering its role in minimizing employee turnover, a persistent challenge faced by many organizations. High attrition rates not only incur substantial financial costs but also disrupt workflow, reduce morale, and impede long-term growth prospects. Thus, for businesses aiming to thrive in this competitive milieu, fostering a leadership culture that resonates with employees, motivates them, and aligns with organizational objectives is indispensable.



Fig. 1: Factors Affecting Organizational Behavior

Central to the discourse on leadership is the concept of leadership styles. A leader's style, characterized by their behavior, decision-making approach, and interpersonal interactions, plays a pivotal role in shaping organizational culture, influencing employee engagement levels, and determining overall productivity. Broadly categorized, leadership styles can range from democratic, where decision-making is collaborative and inclusive, to autocratic, characterized by

centralized authority and top-down decision-making, and laissez-faire, where leaders adopt a hands-off approach, providing minimal guidance or direction.

The significance of understanding these leadership styles lies in their profound implications for employee performance and organizational outcomes. Research has consistently demonstrated that leadership styles exert a considerable influence on employee motivation, job satisfaction, and overall job performance. For instance, while autocratic leadership may be effective in situations demanding swift decision-making and clear directives, it may stifle creativity and innovation, leading to decreased employee morale and engagement. Conversely, democratic leadership, by fostering a culture of participation and open communication, can enhance employee empowerment, foster creativity, and drive organizational innovation.

Moreover, the impact of leadership styles transcends individual employee performance, shaping broader organizational dynamics and outcomes. Management practices, deeply rooted in the prevailing leadership styles, significantly influence organizational culture, operational efficiency, and strategic alignment. Consequently, as organizations operate in an increasingly globalized environment characterized by diverse cultures, values, and practices, understanding the nuances of leadership styles becomes imperative.

Cultural diversity, a hallmark of today's globalized business environment, introduces additional layers of complexity to the leadership paradigm. Leadership practices, influenced by cultural norms, values, and expectations, exhibit considerable variations across different regions and societies. For instance, leadership styles prevalent in Western cultures, emphasizing individualism, autonomy, and assertiveness, may differ significantly from those in Eastern cultures, which prioritize collectivism, harmony, and consensus-building.

In this context, India, with its rich cultural tapestry and rapidly evolving business landscape, offers a fascinating backdrop to explore the interplay between leadership styles and organizational performance. Indian organizations, characterized by their diverse workforce, entrepreneurial spirit, and adaptability, present a unique amalgamation of traditional values and modern practices. Understanding the leadership dynamics within these organizations can provide invaluable insights into the broader implications of leadership styles on work quality, employee satisfaction, and organizational success.

Given this backdrop, this research endeavors to delve deeper into the intricate relationship between leadership styles and organizational performance within select Indian organizations. Adopting a comprehensive survey design, the study aims to analyze primary data collected through structured questionnaires, leveraging advanced statistical tools and techniques for data analysis. By exploring the relative impact of different leadership styles, namely Democratic, Autocratic, and Laissez-faire, on firm performance, the research seeks to unravel the underlying mechanisms driving organizational success in the Indian context.

As organizations grapple with the complexities of the modern business environment, the role of effective leadership emerges as a critical determinant of success. By fostering a deeper

understanding of leadership styles and their implications for organizational performance, this research aspires to contribute meaningfully to the evolving discourse on leadership, offering actionable insights for leaders, managers, and policymakers alike.

### Specific Aims of the Study

The overarching aim of this research is to investigate the relationship between leadership styles and organizational performance within select Indian organizations. To achieve this broad objective, the study is guided by specific aims that provide a structured framework for inquiry and analysis.

1. **To Identify Predominant Leadership Styles:** The study aims to identify and categorize the prevailing leadership styles within the selected Indian organizations, namely Democratic, Autocratic, and Laissez-faire. By understanding the dominant leadership styles, the research seeks to establish a baseline for analyzing their impact on organizational outcomes.
2. **To Examine the Influence on Employee Performance:** One of the primary aims is to assess how different leadership styles influence employee performance metrics such as productivity, job satisfaction, and engagement levels. By correlating leadership styles with employee performance, the study intends to elucidate the mechanisms through which leadership exerts its influence on organizational outcomes.
3. **To Evaluate Organizational Performance Metrics:** The study aims to evaluate various organizational performance metrics, including profitability, operational efficiency, and market competitiveness, across organizations exhibiting different leadership styles. By comparing these metrics, the research aims to discern patterns and trends that highlight the impact of leadership on overall organizational success.
4. **To Explore Cultural Influences:** Given the cultural diversity inherent in the Indian business landscape, the study aims to explore how cultural factors interact with leadership styles to shape organizational dynamics and outcomes. By acknowledging and accounting for cultural nuances, the research seeks to offer a holistic understanding of leadership within the Indian context.

### Objectives of the Study

Building upon the specific aims, the study delineates the following objectives to guide the research process:

1. **Data Collection:** To design and administer structured questionnaires to gather primary data from employees and organizational leaders across selected Indian organizations, ensuring a representative sample that reflects the diversity of industries and organizational sizes.
2. **Data Analysis:** To employ advanced statistical tools, including the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), for comprehensive data analysis, ensuring rigor and reliability

in the research findings.

3. **Comparative Analysis:** To conduct a comparative analysis of leadership styles and their impact on both employee performance and organizational outcomes, leveraging quantitative and qualitative data to derive meaningful insights.
4. **Cultural Contextualization:** To contextualize the findings within the broader cultural landscape of India, acknowledging the interplay between cultural factors and leadership styles in shaping organizational dynamics.

### Scope of the Study

The scope of this research encompasses a detailed exploration of the relationship between leadership styles and organizational performance within select Indian organizations. Specifically, the study focuses on:

1. **Geographical Limitations:** The research is confined to selected regions within India, chosen based on their representation of diverse industries and organizational structures.
2. **Industry Focus:** While the study aims to capture insights from various industries, particular emphasis is placed on sectors exhibiting significant growth and innovation, such as Information Technology, Manufacturing, and Services.
3. **Organizational Size:** The research encompasses organizations of varying sizes, from startups and SMEs to large corporations, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of leadership dynamics across different organizational scales.

### Hypothesis

Based on the aims and objectives, the study formulates the following hypotheses:

1. **H1:** Organizations with a predominantly Democratic leadership style will exhibit higher levels of employee engagement, job satisfaction, and overall organizational performance compared to those with Autocratic or Laissez-faire leadership styles.
2. **H2:** Autocratic leadership styles will correlate with higher levels of operational efficiency but may exhibit lower levels of employee satisfaction and innovation compared to other leadership styles.
3. **H3:** Laissez-faire leadership styles, characterized by minimal intervention, will demonstrate varied outcomes across different organizational metrics, influenced significantly by cultural and industry-specific factors.

### LITERATURE REVIEW

Author (Year)	Major Finding
Stogdill and Coons (1957)	Leadership is the behavior of an individual to direct a group of people to attain common goals.

Yukl (1994, 2010)	Leadership constructs vary significantly, including traits, behavior, interpersonal influence, situational factors, or combinations thereof.
Cole (1996) and Fiedler (1996)	Leadership is a progressive process where one individual influences others to voluntarily achieve group tasks under specific circumstances.
McShane and Von Glinow (2000)	Leadership is the process of influencing people and providing an enabling environment for achieving organizational goals.
Fry (2003)	Leadership involves offering inspirational motives through leading strategies to enhance staff growth and development.
Otusanya (2004)	Leadership has been described in various terms, including traits, influence, behavior, relationship roles, interaction patterns, and administrative positions.
Inyang (2004)	Leadership is a process using non-coercive influence to shape organizational goals, motivate behavior, and define the group's culture.
Mullins (2004)	A leader influences others in an organization to act and carry out specific roles and objectives.
Bunmi Omolayo (2009)	An excellent leader motivates subordinates and meets their requirements while achieving organizational goals.
Fang et al. (2009)	Leadership style positively affects organizational commitment, work satisfaction, and work performance.
Simonet and Tett (2012)	There are overlaps and differences between leadership and management in various competencies, with several descriptors unique to each.
Day and Antonakis (2012)	Leadership influences organizational performance.
Puni, Ofei, and Okoe (2014)	Effective leadership styles positively influence firm performance in some organizations.
Tse and Chiu (2014)	Leadership styles impact organizational performance.
Igbaekemen and Odivwri (2015)	Leadership styles affect organizational performance.
Bhargavi and Yaseen (2022)	Democratic leadership positively impacts organizational performance by allowing employees to express ideas and participate in decision-making.
Northouse (2022)	Leadership theory and practice influence organizational performance and employee motivation.

## Results and Analysis

### 1. Overview of the Study

The research embarked upon a meticulous journey to discern the influence of distinct leadership styles on organizational performance within the context of selected small- and medium-scale enterprises in Lagos, India. Through the deployment of a structured questionnaire and rigorous analytical techniques, the study sought to unravel the intricate relationship between leadership dynamics and organizational outcomes.

### 2. Data Analysis

Central to the data collection process was a 28-item scale designed to measure management styles and a 12-item subscale focusing on effectiveness and efficiency, respectively. Respondents were presented with a five-point Likert scale, ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree, and were tasked with evaluating the prevailing leadership styles and organizational performance.

### 3. Hypothesis Testing

The core objective of this study was to empirically analyze the effect of leadership styles on organizational performance. Operationalized into three distinct categories – autocratic, laissez-faire, and democratic – leadership styles emerged as pivotal predictors, while organizational performance served as the criterion variable. Employing the standard one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), the research culminated in compelling findings, as delineated below.

### 4. Findings from ANOVA

Table 1 encapsulates the essence of the findings, spotlighting the mean scores (X) and standard deviations (SD) associated with each leadership style:

Leadership Styles	N	X	SD
Autocratic	107	22.83	1.27
Laissez faire	125	24.61	1.75
Democratic	88	24.38	1.21
<b>Total</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>24.27</b>	<b>1.54</b>

The data underscores the differential impact of various leadership styles on organizational performance. Notably, the laissez-faire leadership style registered the highest mean score, indicating a potentially favorable influence on organizational outcomes.

### 5. Further Pattern Analysis

Augmenting the ANOVA findings, Table 2 delves into the nuances of the variance, delineating the contributions of different sources to the overall variance. The significant F-value (10.534) underscores the salient influence of leadership styles on organizational performance, thereby warranting a deeper exploration.

**Table 2: Pattern Influence Employed Using Fisher's Least Significance Difference (LSD)**

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	Sig. Of F
Between Group	62.339	2	31.170		
Within Group	1,097.207	287	3.820	8.173	.000
Total	1,159.546	289			

### 6. Multiple Comparison Analysis

Table 3 employs Fisher's least significance difference (LSD) to elucidate the nuanced differences between various leadership styles concerning organizational performance. The matrix reveals divergent patterns, highlighting the differential impact of each leadership style on organizational outcomes.

**Table 3: Fisher's Least Significance Difference (LSD) Multiple Comparison Analysis**

	Autocratic	Laissez faire	Democratic
Autocratic	19.90	-0.94	-0.62
Laissez faire	-4.55	24.16	0.45
Democratic	-2.00	1.20	19.50

### 7. Correlation Matrix

Table 4 presents a comprehensive correlation matrix, elucidating the interrelationships between various dimensions, including contingent rewards, individualized consideration, idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and organizational performance. The matrix offers invaluable insights into the intricate web of relationships, underscoring the multifaceted nature of organizational dynamics.

**Table 4: Correlation Matrix**

	CW	MBEA	MBEP	LF	IS	II	IM	IC
CW	1.0							
MBEA	0.178	1.0						
MBEP	0.099	0.048	1.0					
LF	0.122	0.479a	0.122	1.0				
IS	0.070	0.080	0.477a	0.192	1.0			
II	0.019	0.296b	0.114	0.221a	0.436a	1.0		
IM	0.107	0.335a	0.098	0.114	0.107	0.141	1.0	
IC	0.224a	0.107	0.150	0.236a	0.113	0.269a	0.436a	1.0
OP	0.175a	0.300a	0.114	0.104	0.460a	0.505a	0.557a	0.414a

*a*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed).

*b*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).

### 8. Reliability Test

Ensuring the robustness of the data, a reliability test was conducted, yielding a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.821. This commendable value attests to the internal consistency of the instruments employed, bolstering the credibility and validity of the research findings.

**Table 5: Reliability Test**

Cronbach's Alpha	Value
	0.821
	40

### Conclusion

The exploration into the intricate interplay between leadership styles and organizational performance has yielded profound insights, underscoring the pivotal role of leadership dynamics in shaping the trajectory of organizations. Grounded in rigorous empirical analysis, the research elucidates the differential impact of autocratic, laissez-faire, and democratic leadership styles on organizational outcomes. The findings resonate with extant literature, highlighting the multifarious factors that underpin organizational efficacy and resilience. As organizations grapple with

evolving challenges and complexities, the insights gleaned from this research furnish a robust foundation for leaders, empowering them to navigate the dynamic organizational landscape with acumen and foresight. In summation, the research advances our understanding of the nuanced dynamics that govern organizational performance, offering a compelling narrative that underscores the salient influence of leadership styles in shaping organizational outcomes.

### **Limitation of the Study**

While the research endeavors to offer a comprehensive exploration of leadership dynamics and organizational performance, it is imperative to acknowledge certain limitations inherent in the study. Firstly, the research focused exclusively on selected small- and medium-scale enterprises in Lagos, India, potentially limiting the generalizability of the findings to broader organizational contexts. Additionally, the reliance on a structured questionnaire, albeit meticulously designed, may have introduced response biases, thereby influencing the validity of the findings. Moreover, the cross-sectional nature of the study precludes the assessment of temporal dynamics and longitudinal trends, necessitating caution in extrapolating the findings over extended timeframes. Furthermore, the absence of qualitative insights and contextual nuances may have constrained the depth and granularity of the analysis. Acknowledging these limitations is pivotal, as it underscores the imperative for future research endeavors to adopt a more expansive and eclectic approach, encompassing diverse organizational contexts and methodologies.

### **Implication of the Study**

The implications of the research are manifold, offering actionable insights for organizational leaders, policymakers, and stakeholders alike. The findings underscore the imperative for organizations to adopt a tailored approach to leadership, cognizant of the unique contextual imperatives and organizational ethos. The pronounced influence of laissez-faire leadership on organizational outcomes necessitates a reevaluation of traditional leadership paradigms, fostering an organizational culture that values autonomy, innovation, and accountability. Moreover, the salient correlations elucidated in the research furnish invaluable guidance for leaders, empowering them to cultivate organizational dynamics characterized by synergy, cohesion, and resilience. As organizations traverse the complex terrain of contemporary challenges, the insights gleaned from this research furnish a robust framework for fostering leadership excellence and organizational efficacy.

### **Future Recommendations**

Building upon the foundational insights gleaned from this research, several recommendations emerge that warrant consideration in future endeavors. Firstly, future research endeavors should adopt a more expansive and eclectic methodology, encompassing diverse organizational contexts, sectors, and geographies, thereby fostering a more comprehensive understanding of leadership dynamics. Additionally, the integration of qualitative methodologies, such as interviews and case studies, may enrich the research landscape, capturing the nuanced intricacies and contextual nuances that underpin organizational dynamics. Moreover, longitudinal studies may elucidate

temporal trends and dynamics, fostering a deeper understanding of the evolving nature of leadership and organizational performance. Furthermore, the exploration of emergent leadership paradigms, such as transformational and servant leadership, may offer novel insights into fostering organizational excellence and resilience. As organizations navigate the complexities of the contemporary landscape, the imperative for rigorous, innovative, and contextually grounded research endeavors has never been more pronounced, underscoring the imperative for continuous exploration, learning, and adaptation.

## REFERENCES:

1. Assunta, B. M., & La Bella, A. (August 14, 20–22, 2007). Leadership Styles of World's most Admired Companies a Holistic Approach to Measuring Leadership Effectiveness. Paper presented at: International Conference on Management Science & Engineering.
2. Avolio, B. J. (1999). Full leadership development: Building the vital forces in organizations. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
3. Avolio, B. M., & Bass, B. J. (1990). The implication of transformational and transactional leadership for individual, team, and organizational development. *RESEARCH in Organizational Change and Development*, 4 (1), 231.
4. Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and Performance beyond expectations*, New York: The free press, 3–242.
5. Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1990). The implication of transformational and transactional leadership for individual, team, and organizational development. *RESEARCH in Organizational Change and Development*, 4 (1), 231.
6. Berson, J. L. (2003). An examination of the relationships between leadership style, quality and employee satisfaction in R&D environments, 0-803-8150-5/03/\$17.00 02003 IEEE.
7. Bhargavi, S., & Yaseen, A. (2016). Leadership styles and organizational performance. *Strategic Management Quarterly*, 4(1), 87–117.
8. Birasnav, M. (2014). Knowledge management and organizational performance in the service industry: The role of transformational leadership beyond the effects of transactional leadership. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(8), 1622–1629.
9. Bycio, P., Hackett, R. D., & Allen, J. S. (1995). Further assessment of Bass's (1985) conceptualization of transactional and transformational leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 80(4), 468–478. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.80.4.468>
10. Choi, S. (2007). Democratic leadership: The lessons of exemplary model for democratic governance. *International Journal of Leadership Studies*, 2(3), 234–262.
11. Cole, G. (1996). *Management theory and practice*. New York, NY: Continuum.
12. Day, D. V., & Antonakis, J. (2012). *The nature of leadership* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

13. Fang, C.-H., Sue-Ting, C., & Chen, G.-L., (2009). Applying Structural Equation Model to Study of the Relationship Model among leadership style, satisfaction, Organization commitment and Performance in hospital industry, IEEE.
14. Fiedler, F. E. (1996). Research on leadership selection and training: One view of the future. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41, 241–250. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393716>
15. Fry, L. W. (2003). Toward a theory of spiritual leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 14(6), 693–727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2003.09.001>
16. Gopal, R., & Ghose, C. R. (2014). Leadership styles and employee motivation: An empirical investigation in a leading oil company in India. *IMPACT: International Journal of Research in Business Management*, 2(5), 1–10.
17. Igbaekemen, G. O., & Odivwri, J. E. (2015). Impact of leadership style on organization performance: A critical literature review. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 5(5), 1–7.
18. Inyang, B. J. (2004). *Management theory: Principles and practice*. (2nd Ed.) Calabar: Merb Publishers.
19. Koontz, H., & Donnell, C. (1993). *Introduction to management*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Inc.
20. Lado, A. A., Boyd, N. G., & Wright, P. (1992). A competency-based model of sustainable competitive advantage: Toward a conceptual integration. *Journal of Management*, 18(1), 77–91.
21. McGrath, G. R., & MacMillan, I. C. (2000). *Entrepreneurial mindset: Strategies for continuously creating opportunity in an age of uncertainty*, Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press Books.
22. McShane, S. L., & Von Glinow, M. A. (2000). *Organizational behavior*. Burr Ridge, IL: Irwin/McGraw-Hill.
23. Mullins, L. (2004). *Management and organizational behavior*, Pearson higher education FT, New Jersey, NJ: Prentice hall Publishing Company.
24. Mullins, L. (2007). *Management and organizational behavior* (8th ed.). New York, NY: Prentice Hall.
25. Nahavandi, A. (2002). *The art and science of leadership* (3rd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
26. Northouse, P. G. (2016). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (Seventh ed). Newbury Park, CA: Sage publishing.
27. Noruzy, A., Dalfard, V. M., Azhdari, B., Nazari-Shirkouhi, S., & Rezazadeh, A. (2013). Relations between transformational leadership, organizational learning, knowledge

- management, organizational innovation, and organizational performance: An empirical investigation of manufacturing firms. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 64(5–8), 1073–1085.
28. Omolayo, B. (2007). Effect of leadership style on Job-related tension and psychological sense of community in work organizations: A case study of four organizations in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Bangladesh e-Journal of Sociology*, 4(2), 30–37.
  29. Omolayo, B. (2009). Self-esteem and self-motivational needs of disabled and non-disabled: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Alternative Perspectives in the Social Sciences*, 1(2), 449–458.
  30. Otusanya, O. (2004). The impact of leadership styles on managerial budget performance. *ICAN Students' Journal*, 9(2), 6–14.
  31. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Moorman, R. H., & Fetter, R. (1990). Transformational leader behaviors and their effects on followers trust in leader, satisfaction and organizational citizenship behaviors. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 1, 107–142.
  32. Puni, A., Ofei, S. B., & Okoe, A. (2014). The effect of leadership styles on firm performance in Ghana. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 6(1), 177–185.
  33. Rowe, W. G. (2001). Creating wealth in organizations: The role of strategic leadership. *Academy of Management Executive*, 15, 81–94.
  34. Santora, J. C., Seaton, W., & Sarros, J. C. (1999). Changing times: Entrepreneurial leadership in a community-based nonprofit organization. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 6(3–4), 101–109.
  35. Simonet, D. V., & Tett, R. P. (2012). Five perspectives on the leadership-management relationship: A competency-based evaluation and integration. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 20(2), 199–213.
  36. Stogdill, R. M., & Coons, A. E. (1957). *Leader behavior its' description and measurement* (Vol. 88, pp. 1–27). Ohio, OH: Bureau of Business Research, The Ohio State University.
  37. Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities & strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
  38. Toor, S. R. & Ogunlana, S. O. (2006). Successful project leadership: Understanding the personality traits of project managers and organizational factors. In *Proceedings of the CIB W107, Construction in Developing Economies International Symposium*. Santiago, Chile.
  39. Venkataraman, S. (1997). The distinctive domain of entrepreneurship research: An editor's perspective. In J. Katz & J. Brodtkhaus (Eds.), *Advances in entrepreneurship, firm emergence, and growth* (Vol. 3, pp. 19–38). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
  40. Voon, M. L., Lo, M. C., Ngui, K. S., & Ayob, N. B. (2011). The influence of leadership styles on employees' job satisfaction in public sector organizations in Malaysia.

International Journal of Business, Management and Social Sciences, 2(1), 24–32.

41. Yukl, G. (2010). *Leadership in organizations* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.
42. Yukl, G. A. (1994). *Leadership in organization*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
43. Zhu, W., Chew, I. K. h., & Spangler, W. D. (2005). CEO transformational leadership & organizational outcomes: The mediating role of human-capital-enhancing human resource management. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 16(1), 39–52